Document 8

Filed 07/03/2008

Page 1 of 2

Case 3:08-cr-00330-MHP

granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a 1 2 speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). 3 SO STIPULATED: JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO DATED: 4 5 б OWEN P. MARTIKAN Attorneys for the United States 7 8 BARRY J. PORTMAN DATED: 9 6/27/08 10 11 STEVEN KALAR Attorneys for Defendant 12 13 **|PROPOSED|** ORDER 14 As the Court found on June 9, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, an exclusion of time 15 from June 9, 2008, through July 7, 2008, is warranted because the ends of justice served by the 16 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 17 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense 18 19 counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 20 21 SO ORDERED. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED 23 24 July 3, 2008 DATED: 25 Judge Marilyn H. Patel 26 27

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

CASE NO. CR08-0330 MHP

28